that's a group within Homeland Security Investigations, HSI,

Benefit Fraud Task Force. It's -- the acronym is DBFTF.

So I'm currently embedded with the Document

23

24

Case 1:19-cr-00576-BMC Document 234 Filed 03/14/23 Page 6 of 73 PageID #: 15356

have on the criminal investigation team?

25

right?

MARLENE TARANTINO - CROSS - MR. MIEDEL 1373

- 1 A Again, it's a case-by-case basis, Counsel.
- 2 Q Well, isn't that -- isn't that classification one that is
- 3 used to speed up that process? Would you agree with that?
- 4 A Again, I have not adjudicated those types of petitions.
- 5 Again, it's a case-by-case basis. Every applicant is reviewed
- 6 on their own merits. Every case is different.
- 7 Q Well, what is an -- a classification of an alien of
- 8 extraordinary ability?
- 9 MR. AMIR: Objection.
- 10 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 11 A Again, I have not worked that type of petition, so I do
- 12 not possess any expert knowledge in that area. But generally,
- 13 it's someone that possesses more knowledge than the average
- 14 person in the arts, the sciences, along those lines,
- 15 researchers.
- 16 O And when somebody applies under that classification, that
- 17 has to be approved by the United States Immigration Service,
- 18 right?
- 19 A The application will be received by USCIS. It will be
- 20 reviewed and a decision will be made by an adjudication
- 21 officer.
- 22 Q And in Mr. Garcia Luna's case, he was granted a greencard
- 23 based on that adjustment, right?
- 24 A His application for adjustment of status was approved by
- 25 USCIS, yes.

EGBERT SIMON - DIRECT - MR. AMIR 1375

- 1 Q Good morning. Officer Simon, where do you work?
- 2 A I work for U.S. Customs Border Protection, office of
- 3 | field operations, the New York field office.
- 4 Q What is your title there?
- 5 A Supervisory Customs and Border Protection officer.
- 6 Q Have you held any other prior positions at Customs and
- 7 Border Protection?
- 8 A Yes.
- 9 Q Can you describe those for the jury?
- 10 A Previously I was a U.S. Customs and Border Protection
- 11 officer, and I was also a U.S. Customs and Border Protection
- 12 agricultural specialist.
- 13 Q How long have you worked at the Customs and Border
- 14 Protection?
- 15 A Fifteen years.
- 16 Q Can you describe some of the responsibilities in your
- 17 | current role?
- 18 A So I currently supervise other officers, their day-to-day
- 19 operations, administrative work related to their operations,
- 20 and I'm also detailed to another federal agency.
- 21 Q Does Customs and Border Patrol maintain flight records?
- 22 A Yes.
- 23 Q How are those maintained?
- 24 A So per the regulations, any international flight
- departing the United States or entering the United States must

Is this a fair and accurate representation of the time

Case 1:	19-cr-00576-BMC	Document 234 Filed 03/14/23 Page 18 of 73 PageID #: 15368
		EGBERT SIMON - REDIRECT - MR. AMIR 1381
1	THE	COURT: Yes.
2	(Cor	ntinued on the next page.)
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

SIDEBAR CONFERENCE (Sidebar conference.) MS. DIOUF: Your Honor, this next witness is Ivan Carrera, a post-arrest witness statement for defendant. We move to admit only a specific part of the statement, which the Court granted I just wanted to --THE COURT: Thank you. (End of sidebar conference.) (Continued on the next page.)

IVAN CARRERA - DIRECT - MS. DIOUF

- 1 A I was in Dallas, Texas.
- 2 Q What was your title in Dallas, Texas?
- 3 A I was supervisory Special Agent there.
- 4 Q What were your duties and responsibilities as a
- 5 | supervisory Special Agent in Dallas, Texas?
- 6 A I supervised enforcement operations for enforcement
- 7 groups and oversaw the development of investigations as well
- 8 as the execution of enforcement operations.
- 9 Q Did you focus on any particular type of investigations?
- 10 A Drug trafficking investigations.
- 11 Q What did do you before you were a supervisory Special
- 12 Agent in Dallas, Texas?
- 13 A Prior to that I was supervisory Special Agent in El Paso,
- 14 Texas. Before that I was a Special Agent in Bogota, Colombia,
- and before that I was a Special Agent in Nogales, Arizona, my
- 16 first office.
- 17 Q Are you familiar with an investigation into an individual
- 18 named Genaro Garcia Luna?
- 19 A I am.
- 20 Q How are you familiar with it?
- 21 A We arrested him.
- 22 Q Describe your involvement with that investigation.
- 23 A So in December of 2019, the DEA Houston field office
- 24 | reached out to our group in Dallas and requested assistance in
- 25 locating him and arresting him.

That's correct, yes.

Was the interview recorded?

Q Why not?

21

22

23

24

Q

Did he waive his rights and agree to speak with you?

IVAN CARRERA - DIRECT - MS. DIOUF

- 1 A The recording equipment was not functioning that day. We
- 2 tried for several minutes to try to get it to work. Mr. Luna
- 3 was present in the room when we were trying to get it to work.
- 4 And ultimately we informed him that it was not working, and he
- 5 agreed to continue with the interview without the recording
- 6 taking place.
- 7 Q What was your role in the interview of the defendant,
- 8 Genaro Garcia Luna?
- 9 A I translated.
- 10 Q Are you a native Spanish speaker?
- 11 A Yes, I am.
- 12 Q From where?
- 13 A Mexico.
- 14 Q Did you ask the defendant if he'd ever met with anyone
- 15 from the drug trafficking groups?
- 16 A Yes.
- 17 Q What did he say?
- 18 A He said he did not.
- 19 Q Did you ask the defendant if he ever had a phone
- 20 conversation with drug traffickers?
- 21 A Yes, I did.
- 22 Q And what did he say?
- 23 A He said he did not.
- 24 Q Did you ask the defendant if he was aware if anyone who
- 25 worked under him met with drug traffickers?

Assuming, given your resume, that this isn't your

CARRERA - CROSS - MS. GOTLIB

- 1 A That is correct.
- 2 Q Got it. And prior to making any statements, Mr. Garcia
- 3 Luna was read his Miranda rights, correct?
- 4 A Yes, ma'am.
- 5 Q He was advised that he had a right not to make any
- 6 statements, right?
- 7 A Yes, ma'am. According to the instructions in the form,
- 8 DEA form 13B provides a list of what's necessary legally.
- 9 Q Absolutely. And I know you and I are both familiar with
- 10 Miranda rights. I'm sure most people are. But just humor
- 11 me --
- 12 A Of course.
- 13 Q -- I'm just going to ask you a couple more questions
- 14 about them.
- 15 A Sure.
- 16 Q Thank you.
- 17 And you advised him that he had the right to consult
- 18 with an attorney before making any statements?
- 19 A Yes.
- 20 Q And that if he did decide to make statements, which he
- 21 didn't have to, he was -- had the right to have an attorney
- 22 present with him?
- 23 A Yes, ma'am.
- 24 Q But he waived all those rights and said "I want to make a
- 25 statement to you" without speaking to an attorney first?

PROCEEDINGS

1396

were told when you were selected. Again, no promises, but it looks like that.

(Jury exits the courtroom.)

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Be seated.

A little earlier receipt of that letter would have been appreciated, but we'll do the best we can.

MS. KOMATIREDDY: I appreciate it. I apologize for that, your Honor. We were trying to work it out among the parties. I think we worked out many things, but there was some disagreement.

THE COURT: Okay. In other words, the letter you wrote is now narrowed down or is it still all in dispute?

MS. KOMATIREDDY: We have asked, and I believe defense intends to agree, although we don't have a signed version to the authenticity of the various exhibits, and the question is relevant submissions.

THE COURT: Let me give you general observations.

First, evidence of 2012 wealth and prior to 2012 is okay if it's not cumulative. It struck me you had some things in there that were duplicative; but houses, cars, things owned by person on a public salary, I understand the inference that the Government is asking the jury to draw, and I think those documents are relevant to those inferences.

The post-2012 stuff, 2013 and forward, we've discussed that in a different context, and I was reluctant to

PROCEEDINGS

1397

1 allow it absent prove tying it to the pre 2012 context. 2 Now, it seems to me that if something was owned and 3 enjoyed pre-2012 and it continued to be owned and enjoyed 4 afterwards, I think both for the statute of limitations 5 purpose and also to reinforce the point about the pre-2012 6 inferences that the Government is trying to get the jury to 7 draw, I'd allow a little of that. Okay? 8 I'm not inclined to allow the fish tank. Nobody in 9 this courtroom thinks the ambassador was making it up when --10 THE INTERPRETER: Your Honor, we have technical 11 difficulties with the interpretation equipment. 12 THE COURT: Seems to be going around like COVID. 1.3 Tell us when you've fixed it, please. 14 THE INTERPRETER: We're ready, your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Okay. Both for the statute of 16 limitations purposes and to reinforce the point, 2012. 17 The fish tank, everyone knows the ambassador was 18 telling the truth that there was a remarkable fish tank. 19 Unless the Government is going to present some evidence 20 valuing fish tanks, I don't think the jury --21 Well, let me look at the picture of the fish tank. 22 I mean, maybe if it's gold inlaid or something. 23 What exhibit is it? 24 (Continued on next page.) 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

PROCEEDINGS

1398

MS. KOMATIREDDY: Your Honor, actually, it is a couple of things. First, Your Honor has a binder, and we can direct you to the right exhibit and you can look at it. More importantly, in order to tie - - to place this home as one of his Mexico City homes, we needed to have the ambassador testify that he visited the home in Mexico City, it had this feature, and that's how you tie the rest of the photos of the home into it. Otherwise, we don't have GPS data or something that actually shows that that's a Mexico City home. So that's-- we're not trying to do this from some salacious purpose. We're not going to have the fish tank up

more than five seconds, but we do need to pin it all together.

THE COURT: Let me look at the picture of the fish tank. What exhibit number is that?

MS. DIOUF: Government Exhibit 1328.

THE COURT: Okay. I am inclined to allow that.

Okay. So those are the quidelines. And I will hear from the defense as to why they think the guidelines are wrong.

MR. DE CASTRO: Judge, I don't know that I disagree with the guidelines.

We received the list. It's a pretty lengthy list of stuff and -- I mean, of exhibits. I mean, I think the witness that they're intending to call next is just the person that

Case 1:	19-cr-00576-BMC Document 234 Filed 03/14/23 Page 36 of 73 PageID #: 15386
	PROCEEDINGS 1399
1	said we searched stuff and found it. We don't have any issue
2	with that.
3	But they seem to intend to just put in photos
4	without connecting them to I mean, an individual, I assume,
5	has to testify that this photo is the photo of, for example,
6	the fish tank in the home. They could've done that with the
7	ambassador. They did not.
8	THE COURT: Right. You are not disputing
9	authenticity, but what you are saying is that someone who
10	knows, with knowledge, has to say, this fish tank was in this
11	home; is that what you're saying?
12	MR. DE CASTRO: I'm saying that, yes.
13	THE COURT: So
14	MR. DE CASTRO: They may have that person, I don't
15	know, because I don't know who's coming, so I have no idea.
16	MS. KOMATIREDDY: Your Honor, a couple of things.
17	First, we're asking the jury to draw the inference
18	that it is from the defendant's home because it's on the
19	defendant's computer, and there's at least one photo of
20	THE COURT: You have someone who is going to say
21	that?
22	MS. KOMATIREDDY: That it's own the defendant's
23	computer?

THE COURT: Yes.

24

25

MS. KOMATIREDDY: Yes, that's what I believe defense

is going to stipulate to, that's it's on the defense -- these are all coming from the defendant's computer.

THE COURT: You said authenticity. I don't think you told me why it is authentic.

This is all from the defendant's computer.

MR. DE CASTRO: That's right, it is on his computer. But, you know, that's like saying every photo on my computer, if I went on vacation the other day and took photos, is now my -- you know, so --

THE COURT: It is not quite like that.

MR. DE CASTRO: No, I know it's not. But it's -- I know we're talking about the fish tank. I'm talking a little bit more globally here in terms of everything that's on his computer. Like, there's thousands and thousands and thousands of documents on his computer.

MS. KOMATIREDDY: I'll just add, I think this makes this easy. There's a photo of the defendant's reflection in the fish tank. He's taking a photo of it.

THE COURT: Okay. Look, the fact that it comes from his computer, while it is theoretically possible that he could have taken pictures on vacation, the government, I think for admissibility purposes, will have made a prima facia case telephone to defendant subject to the defendant rebutting that and getting in some evidence that that's not the case and it wasn't his home.

1401

MR. DE CASTRO: I mean, another example, Judge, is they want to put in photos of, like, cars, for example. He

3 has pictures of cars on his computer. And then they want the

4 jury to just infer that they're his? I mean, that seems way

5 out of bounds and a little bit way over prejudicial. They

6 want to show a really nice car and say -- with no witness to

say that that's his? I don't -- I mean, the fish tank is a

8 fish tank, but I mean --

THE COURT: Well, there is no dispute about the houses, right? The houses are his?

MR. DE CASTRO: That he has a house in Mexico City and -- correct. Right.

THE COURT: The picture of the house is his house, is there a dispute about that?

MR. DE CASTRO: Well, they're putting a lot of pictures in a --

THE COURT: They're going to put less.

MR. DE CASTRO: There are definitely pictures on his computers of his houses, yes, yes. But they still need a witness. They still have to properly admit it. Just because it's on his computer --

THE COURT: I'm appreciating the risk of him having pictures that are a property other than his. That's a possibility.

On the other hand, the question is, can a reasonable

25

1

2

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

PROCEEDINGS

inference be drawn that if it is on his computer, it is his?

I think your cross-examination is going to -- well, you know what your cross-examination is going to be.

MR. DE CASTRO: Well, but the cross-examination of a person who has no idea of anything. This person just said, I took these discs or whatever they gave them, I pulled them off. This is just an analyst. This isn't a person that has any knowledge of this case, anything. So any question I ask is going to be: I don't know. I don't know. I was asked to just take these photos.

THE COURT: That's your point, isn't it?

MR. DE CASTRO: Well, potentially.

THE COURT: If I admit it, that's your point.

MR. DE CASTRO: That's one point, I suppose.

THE COURT: Can't the government do anything more than, it was on his computer?

MS. KOMATIREDDY: We can, Your Honor. Some of the cars are in his home, parked at his home. Some are identified in a bill of lading because he actually brought some of his cars to the United States afterwards. And some will be declared in his Mexico official government patrimonial statements.

THE COURT: Okay. I think that is more than ample for admissibility purposes. We will take it one exhibit at a time because no one has had a chance to -- no one but the

1403

1 government has had a chance to review all the exhibits. I

2 have instructed the government, don't make it cumulative. I

3 | am adding to that, don't make it be just it was on his phone.

Okay? It has got to be something more. With those

5 parameters, I think the government can proceed.

MR. DE CASTRO: So just so I know how we're doing
this, because, I mean, my list of objectionable stuff is three
pages on my list. So we're going to be at sidebar, after

THE COURT: Well, but maybe not.

sidebar, after sidebar.

4

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. KOMATIREDDY: Your Honor, I can tell you our plan, and it's consistent how we've -- I've at least done it in other cases.

Our plan is by group to say with the witness: Did you review photographs of a home that was in -- appear -- you know, that appeared to have a fish tank? We don't have to -- our preference would be to bulk admit exhibits. We don't have to publish every one. We can -- once the point is made, we can move on.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. KOMATIREDDY: And then did you review photographs of a home in Jiutepec, in Cuernavaca, Morelos.

THE COURT: Okay. But you are going to have subsequent that it is going to -- besides coming off his computer, that it's going to tie these items to the defendant;

Case 1:19-cr-00576-BMC Document 234 Filed 03/14/23 Page 41 of 73 PageID #: 15391 PROCEEDINGS 1404 1 is that right? 2 MS. KOMATIREDDY: Yes, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Okay. And then in that case, what I want to do is, I will take them subject to connection and we 4 5 will make sure the government ties it up before the jury sees it. 6 7 MR. DE CASTRO: She just said now, like, for 8 example, did you look at a house in Cuernavaca, Morelos? How does this witness know that this is Cuernavaca, 9 10 Morelos. MS. KOMATIREDDY: There's a -- both the -- there's 11 12 metadata that indicates the location of the home. There's an 13 invitation for the defendant's fiftieth birthday party that indicates the location of the home. 14 THE COURT: She's going to put all that in. If not 15 16 through that witness, then subsequently. And then I will 17 admit it unconditionally. But for now, I will admit it 18 conditionally. 19 MR. DE CASTRO: Okay. And so, for example, if they 20 want to put a picture of a yacht that's in Miami that was 21 purchased by other people, he doesn't even own it, and that's 22

going to come in? I'm a little concerned about that.

THE COURT: How do you tie the yacht to him?

MS. KOMATIREDDY: Your Honor, that was -- I know

Your Honor precluded post 2012, but that was purchased in 2012

23

24

Case 1	:19-cr-00576-BMC Document 234 Filed 03/14/23 Page 42 of 73 PageID #: 15392				
	PROCEEDINGS 1405				
1	while he was still a government official, I believe.				
2	THE COURT: And you're going to show that, that it				
3	was this yacht that was purchased?				
4	MS. KOMATIREDDY: Yes, it has the yacht number, I'm				
5	advised.				
6	MR. DE CASTRO: And not purchased by him.				
7	MS. KOMATIREDDY: Of course not. It was purchased				
8	by another person for him. That's the point.				
9	MR. DE CASTRO: They don't have any testimony				
10	THE COURT: How are you going to link the person				
11	purchasing it for him or him to the yacht?				
12	MS. KOMATIREDDY: We have a witness who can testify				
13	to that.				
14	THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to take it subject to				
15	connection. Okay? And I am going to tell you, you don't have				
16	to object to every one because I am only taking it subject to				
17	connection. And so I understand you are objecting to anything				
18	subject to connection. We will revisit that when the				
19	government rests.				
20	MR. DE CASTRO: My request would be that if you				
21	admit take them subject to connection, that none of them				
22	be published because that can't be undone.				
23	THE COURT: That is just well.				
24	MR. DE CASTRO: They can publish them later.				
25	THE COURT: Why not?				

PROCEEDINGS 1406 1 MS. KOMATIREDDY: Well, then we're basically done 2 for the -- I just don't understand when we're going to publish 3 them. That's the purpose of this witness is to be able to --THE COURT: Well, you have subsequent evidence 4 5 coming in after this witness to show these connections, right? 6 MS. KOMATIREDDY: Sure. We can put another person on the stand just to publish them. It's fine. 7 8 THE COURT: I want to make sure that is really what the defense wants. 9 10 MS. KOMATIREDDY: We'll just do it Monday, I quess. 11 THE COURT: Okay. 12 MS. KOMATIREDDY: I mean, we're basically done for 13 the date after this. MR. DE CASTRO: I mean, schedule aside, I want them 14 15 to publish them when they can actually connect them. And 16 again, I am standing here with no knowledge of who the next 17 witnesses are because they don't provide us with notice of 18 their witnesses until maybe three days before. And we're 19 still waiting and now they're saying they're finishing on 20 Tuesday when I had at least 30 witnesses on my list from them. 21 THE COURT: Well, but that is a good thing, right?

MR. DE CASTRO: It's a -- it's certainly a good thing. I would love to know who's coming. I would love to know what, you know --

THE COURT: You're going to know because it looks

25

22

23

like we're going to break shortly today. They're going to tell you what they're going to do by tomorrow morning. And then we'll finish up on Monday.

MS. KOMATIREDDY: Your Honor, I have a suggestion.

Should we just do the slideshow for Your Honor and professor the evidence so that everyone can see what the plan is.

THE COURT: I am taking it subject to connection anyway.

MS. KOMATIREDDY: Okay.

THE COURT: So I am assuming there is going to be a valid proffer. But I don't see why the jury should -- if the defendant is insisting, as is his right, to have that proffer, then we'll show it to the jury when I get the evidence that supports the linkage.

But I will say if the parties sit down and talk about these pictures and the government tells the defense, here is what we're going to say and here is where the tracing is, we have very reasonable lawyers on both sides here who don't want to make unnecessary work, and you will probably have nine-tenths of this worked out by Monday.

MS. KOMATIREDDY: I think that's right, Your Honor.

And I think just putting the patrimony statements and the yacht aside for a minute, the evidence with respect to the Mexico City home and the Cuernavaca home is already in

	PROCEEDINGS 1409				
1	THE COURT: Okay.				
2	MS. DIOUF: It is hereby stipulated and agreed				
3	between the parties that Government Exhibits 1302 through				
4	1329, 1332 through 1339, 1341 through 1350, 1352, 1353, 1354,				
5	1355.				
6	THE COURT: Slow down for the court reporter.				
7	MS. DIOUF: Apologies.				
8	1356, 1359, 1360, 1363, 1364, 1374 through 1379 ,				
9	1383, 1389, 1390, 1395 through 1397, 1424, 1425, 1442 , 1444				
10	through 1463, 1465, 1473 through 1477, 1481, 1482, 1487, 1494,				
11	1505 through 1508, 1510 through 1512, 1515, 1516, 1523, 1528,				
12	1530, 1531, 1533, 1540, 1542, 1543, 1558, 1559 and 1560 are				
13	true and accurate copies of files recovered from the				
14	defendant's electronic devices pursuant to a consensual				
15	search.				
16	The above-listed exhibits came from the following				
17	devices belonging to the defendant:				
18	M-12, a Toshiba external hard drive.				
19	M-13, an Apple iPhone 7.				
20	M-15 a BlackBerry cellular device.				
21	M-16, an Apple laptop computer.				
22	And M-18, an Apple desktop computer.				
23	Government Exhibit 1300-A is a summary chart				
24	reflecting the file paths and creation data of the				
25	above-listed exhibits where applicable, as well as which				

```
Case 1:19-cr-00576-BMC Document 234 Filed 03/14/23 Page 47 of 73 PageID #: 15397
                     GEORGE DIETZ - DIRECT - MS. DIOUF
                                                               1410
  1
      device the exhibit was found on.
                 This stipulation marked as Government Exhibit 904 is
  2
  3
      admissible in evidence at trial as one of 1300-A.
  4
                 THE COURT:
                              Okay. Those are all admitted pursuant
  5
      to the stipulation, and the stipulation itself is admitted.
  6
                 (Government Exhibit 904, was received in evidence.)
  7
                 THE COURT: Next witness.
  8
                MS. DIOUF: The government calls Special Agent
  9
      George Dietz.
 10
                 (Witness takes the witness stand.)
 11
      GEORGE DIETZ, called as a witness, having been first duly
 12
      sworn/affirmed, was examined and testified first duly
      sworn/affirmed:
 1.3
                 THE COURT: Please be seated.
 14
 15
                 State and spell your name into the record, please.
 16
                 THE WITNESS: George Dietz, G-E-O-R-G-E, D-I-E-T-Z.
 17
      DIRECT EXAMINATION
 18
      BY MS. DIOUF:
 19
           Good morning, Mr. Dietz.
 20
           Good morning.
 21
           And just to be clear, do you have Government
 22
      Exhibit 1300-A with you at the witness stand?
 23
           1300-A is the stipulation?
 24
           The summary chart?
 25
           Summary chart, yes, I do.
```

GEORGE DIETZ - DIRECT - MS. DIOUF 1411

- 1 Q Okay. What is your title, Mr. Dietz?
- 2 A I am a special agent.
- 3 Q And where do you work?
- 4 A I work for the United States Department of Justice,
- 5 | specifically at the U.S. Attorney's Office, Eastern District
- 6 of New York.
- 7 Q And how long have you been a special agent for the
- 8 Department of Justice?
- 9 A I've been at the Eastern District for almost five years.
- 10 Q And generally speaking, what are your duties as a special
- 11 agent for the Department of Justice?
- 12 A So we conduct investigations, witness interviews, gather
- and collect evidence, provide trial support and advice to
- 14 prosecutors during trials, gather evidence and preserve the
- 15 | integrity of investigations.
- 16 Q What did you do before you were a special agent at the
- 17 Department of Justice?
- 18 A I was a special agent with the Office of Inspector
- 19 General for the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban
- 20 Development.
- 21 Q And what did you do before that?
- 22 A Before that, I worked in the private sector.
- 23 Q And before that?
- 24 A Before that, I was a contractor working as an
- 25 intelligence specialist.

GEORGE DIETZ - DIRECT - MS. DIOUF

- 1 And prior to that, I was in the -- I was active-duty
- 2 Navy for approximately eight years doing the same thing.
- 3 Q What is your highest level of education?
- 4 A I have a master's degree in economics with a
- 5 | concentration in econometrics and finance.
- 6 Q Special Agent Dietz, are you familiar with an
- 7 investigation into Genaro Garcia Luna?
- 8 A I am.
- 9 Q How are you familiar with it?
- 10 A Because I've been supporting that investigation and the
- 11 trial.
- 12 Q Have you reviewed electronic evidence from the Defendant
- Genaro Garcia Luna's electronic devices as part of your role
- 14 in this case?
- 15 A Yes, I have.
- 16 Q As part of your review, Special Agent Dietz, have you
- 17 reviewed photos of a white house?
- 18 A Yes, I have.
- 19 MS. DIOUF: I'd like to show what's been marked for
- 20 identification to the witness only, side by side, Government
- 21 Exhibit 1302 and 1341.
- 22 Q What are we looking at here?
- 23 A We're looking at a picture of a white house.
- 24 Q And based on the creation data of these photos, when were
- 25 they taken?

```
GEORGE DIETZ - DIRECT - MS. DIOUF
                                                            1415
 1
     in evidence.)
 2
          I'd like to show the witness only what has been marked --
 3
     and actually, Special Agent Dietz, could you circle in the top
     left photo you're indicating where you could see the white
 5
     house?
          Sure.
 7
               MS. DIOUF: And the witness has circled the top left
     corner of Government Exhibit 1342.
 8
               I'd like to show the witness only what's been marked
 9
     for identification as 1450, 1444 and 1448.
10
11
               THE COURT: Getting kind of cumulative here.
12
               MS. DIOUF: Your Honor, I only have a few more of
13
     this house, and it's subject to connecting the continuity of
14
     the house.
15
               THE COURT: I know. I know. Okay. Go ahead.
16
               MS. DIOUF: Thank you.
17
          Special Agent Dietz, what do we see in these exhibits?
18
          We're seeing this, first, 1450 is a picture of what
19
     appears to be the inside of the white house. You can see a
20
     pool on the outside.
21
          And can you see a thatched roof in the background?
22
          Yes, you can.
23
          Okay. And what about 1444?
24
          1444 appears to be a view from underneath that thatched
25
     roof back towards the house.
```

```
GEORGE DIETZ - DIRECT - MS. DIOUF
                                                             1416
 1
     Q
          And 1448?
 2
          1448 appears to be another view from underneath that
 3
     thatched roof into a different direction.
               MS. DIOUF: I move to admit these and publish.
 5
               THE COURT: All right. Received over objection.
 6
               (Government Exhibit 1450, 1444, 1448, were received
 7
     in evidence.)
          And Special Agent Dietz, could you circle where you see
 8
     the thatched roof on Government Exhibit 1450?
10
          Absolutely.
11
               MS. DIOUF: And then could we just flip briefly to
12
     1444 and 1448 side by side.
1.3
          And is this the same view from under the thatched roof?
14
          Yes.
15
               MS. DIOUF: I'd like to now show, side by side,
16
     what's been marked for identification to the witness only
17
     Government Exhibits 1453 and 1540.
18
          And what are we looking at here?
19
          We are looking at the pool from -- on 1453 from the --
20
     down on the pool from what appears to be the second floor of
21
     the -- of the white house.
22
          And do you see any of the same objects in these photos?
23
         Excuse me?
     Α
24
          Do you see any of the same objects in these photos?
25
          Yes.
```

25

Amate 13.

GEORGE DIETZ - DIRECT - MS. DIOUF 1419

- 1 Q What are we looking at here?
- 2 A This is a picture. I don't know who the individual is on
- 3 | left, although he's in uniform. And then the person on the
- 4 right appears to be the defendant.
- 5 Q When was this photo taken?
- 6 A 1334? In October of 2010.
- 7 Q And what can you see in the background of this photo?
- 8 A I could see what appears to be a bar with a lot of
- 9 bottles, and then some -- it looks like some police
- 10 memorabilia up on the top shelf behind them.
- 11 MS. DIOUF: And so now I'd like to show the witness
- 12 what's been marked for identification as Government
- 13 Exhibit 1328.
- 14 Q What are we looking at here?
- 15 A We're looking at a room. You can see what appears to be
- 16 that same bar off to the right. And then there is a large
- 17 fish tank, appears to be built in the wall. And up above the
- 18 fish tank part in the black glass, you can see somebody taking
- 19 a picture of the fish tank.
- 20 Q What's in front of the fish tank?
- 21 A It appears to be -- not appears to be. There is a small
- 22 piano or a piano.
- 23 MS. DIOUF: I move to admit Government Exhibit 1334
- 24 and 1328 and publish.
- 25 THE COURT: I am receiving it subject to

```
GEORGE DIETZ - DIRECT - MS. DIOUF
                                                   1420
 1
     connection, but I am not publishing it. Hold on. Not
 2
     publishing it.
 3
              (Government Exhibit 1334 & 1328, were received in
 4
     evidence.)
 5
             THE COURT: Please observe the guidelines we
 6
     discussed.
 7
             MS. DIOUF: Your Honor, may we have a brief sidebar?
 8
             THE COURT: Sure.
 9
              (Continued on the next page.)
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Case 1:19-cr-00576-BMC Document 234 Filed 03/14/23 Page 58 of 73 PageID #: 15408 SIDEBAR CONFERENCE 1421 1 (Sidebar conference held on the record in the 2 presence of the Court and counsel, out of the hearing of the 3 jury.) MS. KOMATIREDDY: I'm a little bit confused. 4 This 5 is a Mexico City house. 6 THE COURT: How do we know it is the Mexico City 7 house? MS. KOMATIREDDY: The ambassador said he went to a 8 house in Mexico City with a large fish tank. 9 10 THE COURT: How do we know this is the fish tank in 11 that house? 12 MS. KOMATIREDDY: Because there's a photo of the defendant taking a photo of the fish tank and the reflection 13 14 of him. And the prior photo of him with the general, the 15

Colombian general, is dated 2010, which puts it in the time period. THE COURT: Is anyone going to say who the Colombian

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

general is? I actually thought it was a U.S. uniform.

MS. KOMATIREDDY: We were actually going to -- we weren't. We were thinking we wouldn't publish that. I didn't feel it was necessary to publish that particular photo. It's just to root the time period. But we can -- I don't know who it is.

THE COURT: You brought this on yourselves by giving none of us any notice of what is going on, so I cannot give

Case 1:19-cr-00576-BMC Document 234 Filed 03/14/23 Page 59 of 73 PageID #: 15409 SIDEBAR CONFERENCE 1422 1 you the benefit of the doubt on that. 2 The fish tank, yes, if you see the defendant's 3 reflection. MS. KOMATIREDDY: Your Honor, the defense argued he 4 5 built it himself. They made this a central issue that somehow 6 he had this large fish tank he built it himself. 7 THE COURT: It does not refute that, that he built it himself. 8 MS. DIOUF: Also, another photo of the same bar area 9 10 not showing the fish tank, not showing the reflection. 11 THE COURT: Where is the defendant in the reflection 12 of this one? 13 MS. REID: And he's wearing the same outfit. 14 MS. KOMATIREDDY: He's here, Your Honor. 15 And another photo that also shows him more clearly. 16 MR. MIEDEL: I don't think you can tell from that 17 reflection that's him. 18 MS. REID: And there's another picture where he's 19 wearing the same outfit and the reflection is much clearer. 20 THE COURT: Sorry, let's see the other picture. 21 That was not sufficient. 22

MS. KOMATIREDDY: The defense claim it's a modest country home. That's the only reason we put in the basketball court.

23

24

25

THE COURT: I let you put in all the pictures of the

SIDEBAR CONFERENCE home because they fit the prior description. Not enough. Not enough. Go ahead, do what you can. Try again on Monday if you get something more. (End of sidebar conference.) (Continued on the next page.)

Q And did you handle the government witnesses in this case?

A Yes, I did.

Q Did you take measures to ensure that the witnesses in this case did not see or talk to each other?

A Yes, we did.

20

21

22

23

24

25 Q Can you describe those measures?

DIETZ - DIRECT - MS. DIOUF

- 1 A Sure. We -- a few months before the trial even started,
- 2 we met with the stakeholders, DEA, HSI and the marshals to
- 3 discuss movement of the witnesses, both in-custody and
- 4 out-of-custody witnesses, to make sure that no witnesses would
- 5 run into each other.
- 6 Q And can you describe the measures you took when witnesses
- 7 | were being interviewed and meeting with the prosecutors to
- 8 prepare for this case?
- 9 A Sure. Same thing, people came in -- people came in at
- 10 different times, different entrances, different buildings. We
- 11 always made sure that there were agents on each one of the
- 12 witnesses, if there were multiple witnesses in a building, and
- 13 tried to vary that to include doing a lot of these prep
- 14 sessions and interviews over the weekends.
- 15 Q Were witnesses transported separately?
- 16 A Yes, they were. That's a standard, standard practice
- 17 with witnesses.
- 18 Q Can you describe the measures you took to -- with respect
- 19 to in-custody witnesses?
- 20 A Sure. With in-custody witnesses, we talked to the
- 21 marshals, we talked to MDC. There were separation orders
- related to some of these custody witnesses. And one of the
- 23 main things that we did was, we moved those witnesses
- 24 individually with agents from both the investigative agencies
- 25 as escorts by themselves. Usually one -- it should have been

MS. DIOUF: Yes, Your Honor, the government intends

has to have an opportunity to cross, right?

24

1 to call Special Agent Dietz back on Monday.

THE COURT: All right.

Ladies and gentlemen, this is actually good news for you. It means the government's case is going to wrap up early next week. I don't know what, if anything, the defense will do because, of course, the defense has no obligation to do anything at all or put in any evidence because of the presumption of innocence and the government's burden of proving guilt beyond a reasonable doubt, but I think it is fair to say we are way ahead of where we intended to be at this point.

So we will probably -- again, probably, because I don't want anyone getting mad at me and saying, Judge, you told us, but probably we will finish the government's case on Monday, and then we will see where we are. So you got the afternoon off. If you want to wait for lunch -- did you cancel lunch, Quadri.

THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: I did.

THE COURT: Canceled it?

Sorry. You're on your own for lunch.

Please remember the rules as we get to this later stage of the case, especially. Please do not look at any media coverage of this case. Do not communicate with anyone about it. We will see you Monday morning, 9:30, and we are making great progress, like I said. Have a good weekend.

<i>5</i> 450 1,	PROCEEDINGS 1428				
1	(Jury exits.)				
2	THE COURT: All right. Everyone be seated.				
3	You may step down. See you Monday.				
4	(Witness steps down.)				
5	MS. KOMATIREDDY: Your Honor, I had a few				
6	housekeeping items.				
7	THE COURT: Okay.				
8	MS. KOMATIREDDY: First of all, with respect to				
9	yesterday's transcript, we wanted to ask to seal the sidebar				
10	at transcript pages 1294 to 1295 because they discuss the				
11	matter with respect to Mr. Veyita that was as part of a sealed				
12	motion.				
13	MR. DE CASTRO: No objection.				
14	THE COURT: All right. I am finding that the				
15	interests that the government has identified outweigh the				
16	public's qualified right to access, so I am going to direct				
17	the court reporter to seal those pages available only to the				
18	defense and the government.				
19	MS. KOMATIREDDY: In addition, we intend we will				
20	provide the defense with our witnesses for Monday later today.				
21	We did want to ask for a defense witness list, the defense				
22	exhibit list and 26.2 material.				
23	THE COURT: Right.				
24	What does the defense want to tell us?				
25	MR. DE CASTRO: Well, I guess I should say that the				

1 government's notice that they're done on Tuesday was somewhat 2 surprising, given that they originally had said about 32 trial 3 days for their case, then I think they said in the beginning of jury selection it would be about 24 trial days. We are 4 5 now at eight trial days. So I had not anticipated --THE COURT: Like I said, that's a good thing. 6 MR. DE CASTRO: It's a good thing, but I say that 7 8 only to say that I did not anticipate -- I anticipated at 9 least another eight days, perhaps, especially given their 10 witness list and how many sort of big witnesses were on there. And so I can't really say anything right now. 11 12 We got the letter last night or the information last 13 night, and so we're just starting to sort of figure out what 14 the plan is. Of course Mr. Garcia Luna has a right to 15 testify in the case, and so we are having those conversations 16 in earnest with him. 17 THE COURT: Do you feel comfortable in telling us 18 whether, aside from the possibility that the defendant may 19 testify, you intend to present other evidence? 20 MR. DE CASTRO: Give me one sec, Judge. 21 THE COURT: You don't have to, but just for 22 scheduling, it would help everyone. 23 MR. DE CASTRO: No, of course. No, of course. 24 think I can say something. 25 (Pause in proceedings.)

1 MR. DE CASTRO: Judge, I would -- on that front, we 2 would say minimal. We don't think anyone other than 3 Mr. Garcia Luna, if he were to testify, would be lengthy. 4 THE COURT: If there is going to be anyone else, 5 please let the government know by Friday. Okay? 6 MR. DE CASTRO: Of course. 7 THE COURT: And let us have a decision on whether 8 the defendant is going to testify -- it sound like the 9 government's going to rest on Monday, right? 10 MS. KOMATIREDDY: I think so, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: So let us be ready on Monday, so we 12 don't lose another half a day. 13 MR. DE CASTRO: For sure. 14 THE COURT: Okay. Anything else we have to cover? 15 MS. KOMATIREDDY: This may be asking too much, but 16 is there any chance we could get a decision on whether the 17 defendant is going to testify over the weekend? No? THE COURT: If the defendant is going to testify, it 18 19 is going to be Tuesday morning. I will require the defense to 20 tell you that Monday morning. 21 MS. KOMATIREDDY: Thank you, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Any problem with that? 23 MR. DE CASTRO: No, Judge. 24 MS. KOMATIREDDY: And then I think we need to game 25 out when the charge conference and closings would be, should

PROCEEDINGS	
PRULPIPI NUTO	

he testify, should he not testify.

THE COURT: Right. Well, we will circulate the Court's proposed charge this weekend. And then we will have our charging conference whenever the defense rests. So it could be Monday or it could be Tuesday or conceivably it could be Wednesday or Thursday depending on what the defense does.

Can't give more than that because I don't know how long the direct of the defendant would be if he's called and how long the cross would be. It could be several days. It's possible.

MS. KOMATIREDDY: In the event that the defendant does not testify, we would be closing Wednesday and Thursday; is that fair.

THE COURT: That's very possible, yes.

MR. DE CASTRO: So on that front, I was going to say, so, you know, from the defense perspective, we obviously have to think about whether he's going to testify or not. And so plus, they are - - I don't -- you have all day Monday? You have witnesses all day Monday?

MS. KOMATIREDDY: I think we have all day Monday.

THE COURT: Okay. On that representation, you don't have to -- you have to tell the government Monday what you're going to do, but you don't have to expect to do it until Tuesday.

(Continued on the next page.)

1 MR. DE CASTRO: I suspect they will have someone 2 substantive on Monday. I'm going to be spending my weekend 3 with whatever that substantive witness is, I assume, so I'm a little concerned about being on the spot to immediately sum up 4 5 when then we might sum, then you would charge, and then the jury wouldn't start deliberating until the following Tuesday. 6 7 THE COURT: What would you like to do? 8 MR. DE CASTRO: My thought would be if they rest on 9 Monday or -- they said today they would rest on Tuesday 10 morning, but who knows -- and then we just sum up on the day 11 after, because it's a holiday weekend. We just sum up on 12 Tuesday. 13 I don't know what the Government's thought is on 14 that. 15 MS. KOMATIREDDY: This really all turns on the 16 defendant testifying. If there's no defense case, I think we 17 should sum next week. Having a whole week where the jury has 18 the evidence out of mind is not a good idea. 19 THE COURT: I really agree with that. 20 MR. DE CASTRO: Well, I hear that, but that's 21 convenient for them, they don't have to prepare for a witness 22 over the weekend and summation when they're now three weeks 23 ahead of schedule and we just learned it. 24 MS. KOMATIREDDY: That's not true, your Honor. I'm 25 going to be preparing the cross and the summation and the

1 witness.

1.3

THE COURT: Let me make this easy. I'm not going to lose a week. Okay. If the Government rests on Monday, and the defendant chooses not to put on a case, then we will definitely close next week.

MR. DE CASTRO: Okay. I just want to make sure -is the Court okay with sort of us closing like on -- I'm just
concerned about the time that the Court, having to charge the
jury too. I mean, if you find -- if, for example, we sum up
on a Thursday, then charge them on Tuesday, because -- I don't
know how long their summation is.

THE COURT: I think you all have a lot of talking to do at each other. I am at your disposal, okay. But you all have to know what you want to do and then you have to communicate with each other so that you work out the schedule.

MS. KOMATIREDDY: We will do that, your Honor.

I would just lay out what I expect would be the two possibilities.

One is, should there be no defense case we expect we would finish at the end of Monday. Depending on the cross, there may be some spill over to Tuesday. I suspect our evidence will be end of Monday. If there is no defense case my suggestion be we do the charge conference on Tuesday, and both parties close on Wednesday. My closing is approximately two hours. And then the Court could charge and the jury could

Case 1	19-cr-00576-BMC Documen	t 234 Filed 03/14/23	Page 72 of 73 PageID #: 15	5422
			1435	ō
1		I N D E X		
2	WITNESS		PAGE	
3	MARLENE TARANTINO			
4				
5	DIRECT EXAMINATION CROSS-EXAMINATION			
6	EGBERT SIMON			
7	DIRECT EXAMINATION			
8	CROSS-EXAMINATION REDIRECT EXAMINATION			
9	IVAN CARRERA			
10	DIRECT EXAMINATION			
11	CROSS-EXAMINATION REDIRECT EXAMINATION			
12	GEORGE DIETZ			
13	DIRECT EXAMINATION	BY MS. DIOUF	1410	
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

Case 1	:19-cr-00576-BMC Document 234 Filed 03/14/23 Page 73 of 73 Page	eID #: 15423
		1436
1	EXHIBITS	
2	GOVERNMENT PAGE	
3	105 1366	
4	605 1376	
5	605A 1378	
6	904 1410	
7	1302, 1341 1413	
8	1345 & 1349 1414	
9	1342, 1343, 1344 1414	
10	1450, 1444, 1448 1416	
11	1453 & 1540 1417	
12	1458 & 1456 1417	
13	1454 1418	
14	1449 & 1305	
15	1334 & 1328 1420	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		